Dangerously Irrelevant Fields, Two Length Scales , And Monte Carlo Renormalization Flows of the Clock Models

Hui Shao

Jan 2021, BNU

Outline

• 3D classical XY model:

- general understanding.

• 3D classical clock model:

- dangerously irrelevant field and two length scales;
- Monte Carlo renormalization flows.

2D quantum clock model:

- Same critical properties;
- different U(1) to Z(q) scaling behavior;
- mapping to 3D classical anisotropic clock model;

• Conclusions.

• Hamiltonian:

$$H = -\sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j = -\sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \cos\left(\theta_i - \theta_j\right)$$

• Phase digram:

Ordered (O(2) Symmetry breaking) | Disordered

- Low energy effective model:
 - In the disordered phase, $H(\Phi)/T = \int d^3x \left[|\partial_\mu \Phi|^2 + u |\Phi|^2 \right]$
 - Around T_c, $H(\Phi)/T = \int d^3x \left[|\partial_\mu \Phi|^2 + u|\Phi|^2 + g|\Phi|^4 \right]$

- In the ordered phase, $H(\theta)/T = \int d^3x \left(\partial_\mu \theta\right)^2/2$

• RG picture:



Three fixed points:

- T>T_c, Gaussian fixed point (G);
- T=T_c, XY universality class fixed point (XY);
- T<T_c, Nambu-Goldstone fixed point (NG).

• Different calculations of the critical exponents:

Method	Reference	u	\mid η
perturbation series at fixed dimension including seven-loop contributions	J. Phys. A 31, 8103 (1998)	0.6703(15)	0.0354(25)
ϵ -expansion at order ϵ^5	J. Phys. A 31, 8103 (1998)	0.6680(35)	0.0380(50)
first-order non-perturbative RG	Phys. Rept. 363, 223 (2002)	0.704	0.049
Experiments on helium	Low Temp. Phys.93, 131 (1992)	0.6705(6)	
high temperature expansions+MC	PRB 74, 144506 (2006)	0.6717(1)	0.0381(2)
Monte Carlo	PRB 100, 224517 (2019)	0.67169(7)	0.03810(8)
conformal bootstrap	JHEP 06, 142 (2020)	0.67175(1)	0.038176(44)

- Monte Carlo Simulations:
 - Corrections exist in simulations of the standard XY model:

$$R = R\left(\delta L^{1/\nu}, L^{-\omega}, \ldots\right)$$

leading order $\omega = 0.785(20)$.

 Adding a term corresponding to the leading correction field:

$$H = -\beta \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j - D \sum_i \vec{S}_i^2$$

ordered phase and the phase transition not changed; corrections being eliminated.

Campostrini et. al., PRB (2001).



• Hamiltonian:

$$H = -\sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \cos(\theta_i - \theta_j) - h\sum_i \cos(q\theta_i)$$

In the h=0 or $q = \infty$ limit, back to XY model;

In the $h = \infty$ limit, XY interaction with \vec{S} pointing to q directions.

• Phase digram For q>=4:

Ordered (Z(q) Symmetry breaking) | Disordered T_c

- the phase transition belongs to 3D XY universality class.
- Z(q) field is irrelevant at the critical point but relevant in the ordered phase Dangerously Irrelevant Field.

Nelson, PRB (1976).

- Perturbation of the effective model
 - At critical point:

$$S = \int d^3x \left[|\partial_\mu \Phi|^2 + u|\Phi|^2 + g|\Phi|^4 - \lambda_q \left(\Phi^q + \bar{\Phi}^q \right) \right]$$

Scaling dimension of λ_q based on $\epsilon\text{-expansion},$

$$y_q = 4 - q + \epsilon \left(\frac{q}{2} - 1 - \frac{q(q-1)}{10}\right) + O\left(\epsilon^2\right)$$

 $y_q < 0$ when q > = 4, λ_q is irrelevant.

- In the ordered phase:

$$\mathbf{D} = \int d^3x \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial_\mu \theta\right)^2 - \lambda_q K^3 \int d^3x \cos\left[q\left(\phi_0 + \frac{\theta}{\sqrt{K}}\right)\right]$$

Taylor expansion indicates the relevance of the Z(q) field for any value of q.

Oshikawa, PRB (2000).

• RG flow



- Crossover from the NG fixed point to the Z(q) symmetry breaking fixed point;
- A larger length scale: $\xi' \sim t^{-\nu'}$, when $\xi \ll L \ll \xi'$, the system looks U(1) ordered;
- Different proposals of the scaling relation with $u,
 u', y_q$.

Oshikawa, PRB (2000). Okubo et. al., PRB (2015). Lonard et. At., PRL (2015).

Monte Carlo renormalization flows:

- Works in the space of physical observables corresponding to the given fields . By increasing the system size, observables approach their thermal dynamic values according to the scaling exponents Δ .

- Finite size scaling can be considered as an example.



Shao, Guo and Sandvik, PRL (2020). Patil, Shao, Sandvik, arXiv:2009.03249 (2020).

• Corresponding physical observables:

Temperature Binder cumulant

 $U = 2 - \langle m^4 \rangle / \langle m^2 \rangle^2$

Z(q) field Angular order parameter $\phi_q = \langle \cos(q\theta) \rangle$ $\theta = \arccos(m_x / \sqrt{m_x^2 + m_y^2})$ **Space averaged!** m_u

 m_x



• Corresponding physical observables:

Temperature Binder cumulant

 $U = 2 - \langle m^4 \rangle / \langle m^2 \rangle^2$

Z(q) field Angular order parameter

$$\phi_q = \langle \cos(q\theta) \rangle$$

$$\theta = \arccos(m_x / \sqrt{m_x^2 + m_y^2})$$



• Flow Picture - clear illustration of all the renormalization stages.



• Valid two length scale hypothesis:

$$\phi_q \sim L^{-|y_q|} \Phi(tL^{1/\nu}, tL^{1/\nu'_q})$$

- Scaling dimension of the Z(q) field at T_c , $\,\phi_q \sim L^{-\Delta} \sim L^{y_q}$



- Scaling dimension of the Z(q) field at $T_{\rm c}$

- standard way: correlation function of the local operator at the transition point of XY model.

Local operator:

 $m(q, r_i) = \cos(q\theta_i)$

Correlation function:

$$C(q,r) = \langle m(q,r_i)m(q,r_j) \rangle$$

$$= \left\langle \cos(q\theta_i - q\theta_j) \right\rangle$$

Scaling behavior:

 $C(q, r_{\rm m}) \sim a L^{-2\Delta_q} (1 + b L^{-\omega})$



Scaling dimension of the Z(q) field: $y_q = 3 - \Delta_q$

- Scaling dimension of the Z(q) field at $T_{\rm c}$

- standard way: correlation function of the local operator at the transition point of XY model.



Scaling dimension of the Z(q) field: $y_q = 3 - \Delta_q$

 $2\Delta_q > 6$ for irrelevant field, extremely hard to extrapolate.



• When $tL^{1/\nu'_q} \ll tL^{1/\nu} \ll 1$, dominated by the XY fixed point

 $\phi_q \propto L^{y_q} (1 + tL^{1/\nu}) \qquad U = U(tL^{1/\nu}) = U_{XY} + tL^{1/\nu} + L^{-\omega}$



• When $tL^{1/\nu'_q} \ll tL^{1/\nu} \ll 1$, dominated by the XY fixed point Distance to the XY fixed point: $d_{XY} \propto \sqrt{(tL^{1/\nu} + L^{-\omega})^2 + L^{2y_q} (1 + tL^{1/\nu})^2}$



Shao, Guo and Sandvik, PRL (2020).

(a)

 $\overline{10}$

L

 $\overline{20}$

• When $tL^{1/\nu}$ grows and $tL^{1/\nu'_q} \ll 1$, still dominated by the XY fixed point (in principle higher orders should be included)

 $\phi_q \propto L^{y_q} (1 + tL^{1/\nu}) \qquad U = U(tL^{1/\nu}) = U_{XY} + tL^{1/\nu} + L^{-\omega}$



• When $tL^{1/\nu}$ grows and $tL^{1/\nu'_q} \ll 1$, still dominated by the XY fixed point

Distance to x-axis: $d_X \propto L^{y_q} \left(1 + tL^{1/\nu}\right)$



 $\overline{10}$

• When $tL^{1/\nu}$ is large enough and $tL^{1/\nu'_q} \ll 1$, namely $\xi \ll L \ll \xi'$ $\phi_q \sim L^{-|y_q|} (tL^{1/\nu})^a \qquad 1 - U \propto (tL^{1/\nu})^{-r}$



• When $tL^{1/\nu}$ is large enough and $tL^{1/\nu'_q} \ll 1$, namel $\frac{\chi}{3}^2$ - Asymptotic form:

 $1 - U \propto (tL^{1/\nu})^{-r}$

No rigorous study, fit with MC data finds:

r = 1.52(2)



• When $tL^{1/\nu}$ is large enough and $tL^{1/\nu'_q} \ll 1$, namely $\xi \ll L \ll \xi'$ - Scaling behavior of ϕ_q

Proposals of the size dependent exist: $\phi_q \propto L^p$, based on different arguments, values of p are different (2 or 3).



Patil, Shao, Sandvik, arXiv:2009.03249 (2020).



- Distance to the NG fixed point

$$d_{NG} = \sqrt{L^{-2r/\nu}t^{-2r} + L^{2p}t^{2\nu(p-y_q)}}$$



• When tL^{1/ν'_q} starts to grow,

$$\phi_q \sim L^p t^{\nu(p+|y_q|)} g\left(tL^{1/\nu'_q}\right) \sim L^p t^{\nu(p+|y_q|)} \left(1 + tL^{1/\nu'_q}\right) \sim \phi_q(0) + tL^{1/\nu'_q}$$



• When $tL^{1/
u_q'}$ starts to grow

For a given $\phi_q(cross)$ not close to 1, $\phi_q(cross) - \phi_q(0) \propto t L^{1/\nu'} \propto const.$

 $L_{cross} \propto t^{-\nu_q'}$



Shao, Guo and Sandvik, PRL (2020).

0

• When $tL^{1/\nu'_q} >> 1$, i.e., $\phi_q \rightarrow 1$

 $\phi_q \sim L^p t^{\nu(p+|y_q|)} g\left(tL^{1/\nu'_q}\right) \sim L^p t^{\nu(p+|y_q|)} \left(tL^{1/\nu'_q}\right)^b$



• When $tL^{1/\nu_q'} >> 1$, i.e., $\phi_q \rightarrow 1$

$$\phi_q \sim L^p t^{\nu(p+|y_q|)} g\left(tL^{1/\nu'_q}\right) \sim L^p t^{\nu(p+|y_q|)} \left(tL^{1/\nu'_q}\right)^b$$

- Powers of *L* and *t* both *0*, leading to a scaling law:

$$\nu' = \nu \left(1 + \frac{|y_q|}{p} \right)$$

Also proposed in several theoretical studies.

- Values of the exponents agree with the scaling law.

$$\nu = 0.67175(1), \ \nu' = 1.52(4), \ y_q = 2.55(6), \ p = 2$$

Shao, Guo and Sandvik, PRL (2020). Chubukov, et. al., PRB (1994). Okubo et. al., PRB (2015). Lonard et. At., PRL (2015).

• Hamiltonian:

$$H = -s \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \cos(\theta_i - \theta_j) - (1 - s) \sum_{i=1}^N Q_i$$

Choices of Q:

Model	<0000000000000000000000000000000000000	Constraint	Property
1	$\cos(\theta i - \theta i') + 1$	$\theta i - \theta i' = 2\pi/q$	most clock-like
2	cos(θi – θi') + 1	No	also clock-like
3	1/q	No	Potts-like

• All models found to have the 3D XY phase transition by SSE with cluster algorithm (See more details in app. B&C).

Patil, Shao, Sandvik, arXiv:2009.03249 (2020).

MC RG flow (Model 2, q=6)



Patil , Shao, Sandvik , arXiv:2009.03249 (2020).0

• Scaling dimensions of the Z(q) fields at S_c



Agrees with the known values.



Patil, Shao, Sandvik, arXiv:2009.03249 (2020).

• When $\xi \ll L \ll \xi'$, relevant scaling behavior $\phi_q \sim L^p t^{\nu(p+|y_q|)}$ - Different ϕ_q defined on: (averaged over rest direction(s))

$$\vec{M}_{2D} = \frac{1}{L^2} \sum_{x,y} \vec{m}(x,y,\tau)$$
$$\vec{M}_{3D} = \frac{1}{\beta L^2} \int_0^\beta d\tau \sum_{x,y} \vec{m}(x,y,\tau)$$
$$\vec{M}_{1Ds} = \frac{1}{L} \sum_x \vec{m}(x,y,\tau)$$
$$\vec{M}_{1Dt} = \frac{1}{\beta} \int_0^\beta d\tau \vec{m}(x,y,\tau)$$

- p=3 found for all cases studied.



Patil, Shao, Sandvik, arXiv:2009.03249 (2020).

• Extrapolation of ν'_q consistent with p=3 in the scaling law.



Patil, Shao, Sandvik, arXiv:2009.03249 (2020).

50

3D anisotropic classical clock model

 D to D+1 mapping leads to the 3D anisotropic classical clock model (with strong anisotropy):

$$H_{1} = -J_{\parallel} \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle_{\parallel}} \cos\left(\theta_{i} - \theta_{j}\right) - J_{\perp} \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle_{\perp}} \cos\left(\theta_{i} - \theta_{j}\right)$$
$$H_{2} = -J_{\parallel} \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle_{\parallel}} \cos\left(\theta_{i} - \theta_{j}\right) - J_{\perp} \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle_{\perp}} \left(\delta_{\theta_{i},\theta_{j}} - 1\right)$$



t=0.117

3D anisotropic classical clock model



1.8 -C ρ osso2e from p=2 to p=3 also found for J₁-Clock model.

- Scaling with two different

$$\sim (c_2 L^2 t^{\nu} (2 + |y_q|) + c_3 L^3 t^{\nu} (3 + |y_q|)) g(t L^{1/\nu'_q})$$

the data.

(b)

2.2

2



 J_{\perp} -Clock $\lambda = 0.5$



Patil, Shao, Sandvik, arXiv:2009.03249 (2020).

1.8

3D anisotropic classical clock model

• Evaluation of ν'_q (a)
1.8 2 2.2

- crossover found for large λ ;

2) behavior for larger t; dominant behavior should always be $\nu'_q(p=3)$. (b) 1.8 2 2.2



Patil, Shao, Sandvik, arXiv:2009.03249 (2020).

Conclusions

- Z(q) field in the clock model is a dangerously irrelevant field;
- Two length scale scaling function can describe all the renormalization stages;
- Unconventional U(1) to Zq cross-over found in quantum and anisotropic classical clock models, and further understanding needed for scaling power p=3;
- Discussions based on O(2) case should apply to O(n);
- Closely related to the deconfined quantum phase transition of the JQ model. (Anders on Friday)